

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/701,705	12/01/2000	Yukihiko Okumura	3815/107	7195	
7590 08/30/2005			EXAMINER		
ADRIAN J. LI		GHULAMALI, QUTBUDDIN			
WORKMAN, NYDEGGER & SEELEY 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
60 EAST SOUT		2637			
SALT LAKE C	ITY, UT 84111		DATE MAILED: 08/30/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/701,705	OKUMURA ET AL	- .				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Qutub Ghulamali	2637					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ad	ldress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a now within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timel THS from the mailing date of this co					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ju</u>	<u>ine 2005</u> .						
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,18-23,33-37,47,49,51 and 53-84</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)🖂	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>54 and 70-77</u> is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-8,18,20-23,33-37,47,49,51,53,62-69,78-84</u> is/are rejected.							
•	7) Claim(s) <u>5,19 and 55-61</u> is/are objected to.							
8)[_]	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12/01/2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form P1	IO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	*	received in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
dec the attached detailed office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/23/04,7/7/03,5/2</u> .		nformal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)				

Art Unit: 2637

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to Applicant's election of Group I invention pertaining to claims 1-8, 18-23, 33-37, 47, 49, 51 and 53-84 dated 06/06/2005.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

In the instant case, the Abstract of the Disclosure exceeds the allowable range of 50-150 words. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2637

4. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 18, 20-23, 33-37, 47, 49, 51, 53, 62-69, 78-84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abeta et al (USP 6,647,003) in view of Huang et al (USP 5,881,056).

Regarding claims 1, 8, 18, 23, 33, 37, 47, 49, 51, 64, 65, 67, 68, and 83, Abeta discloses, a channel estimation and demodulation device comprising:

weighting factor generating means for generating weighting factors for weighting and averaging pilot symbols which are time multiplexed with a control channel, which is parallel multiplexed with a data channel (abstract; col. 2, lines 54-65; col. 8, lines 24-28; col. 9, lines 19-24), and channel estimation value calculating means for weighting and averaging said pilot symbols using said weighting factors and calculating a channel estimation value of data symbols of said data channel (figs. 2, 5, elements S201-S205, S501-S506; col. 4, lines 8-42).

Abeta further discloses channel variation compensating means for compensating channel variation of said data symbols using the channel estimation value calculated by said channel estimation value calculating means (col. 2, lines 3-8, 39-48). Abeta though discloses multiplexing of data and control channel (pilot), however, does not clearly express the limitation "pilot symbols time multiplexed with a data channel".

Huang in a similar field of endeavor discloses this operation via the complex processor implementation of pilot symbols time multiplexed with a data channel (col. 9, lines 3-16, 56-62). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a time multiplexing scheme as taught by Huang in the channel estimation apparatus of Abeta because it can track and acquire timing information for channel subcode and further to control the reception of and dispreading of all the remaining subcodes.

Art Unit: 2637

Regarding claims 2, 20, 34, Abeta discloses said weighting factor generating means generates weighting factors to be used for weighting and averaging mean values of the pilot symbols in a plurality of slots of said control channel and said channel estimation value calculating means weights and average the mean values of said pilot symbols using said weighting factors and calculates the channel estimation value of the data symbols of said data channel (col. 2, lines 53-65).

Regarding claims 3 and 21, Abeta discloses said weighting factors are determined according to the positions of said pilot symbols in the slots of said control channel (col. 9, lines 55-59).

Regarding claims 4, 66, Abeta discloses said weighting factor generating means divides the data symbols in the slots of said data channel into a plurality of data symbol sections, selects the pilot symbols appropriate for calculating the channel estimation value of the data symbols in each of the data symbol sections and generates the weighting factors to be used for weighting and averaging the pilot symbols (col. 2, lines 53-65); and said channel estimation value calculating means takes weighted average of said pilot symbols using said weighting factors and calculates the channel estimation value of the data symbols of each of the data symbol sections (abstract; col. 2, lines 39-46, 54-58).

Regarding claims 6 and 35, Abeta discloses fading frequency decision means for deciding the fading frequency based on an inner product value of said pilot symbols (col. 1, lines 66-67; col. 2, lines 1-8, 39-48); and

Art Unit: 2637

factor altering means for altering the factors that are used in taking said weighted average according to the fading frequency decided by said fading frequency decision means (col. 7, lines 50-67; col. 8, lines 1-18).

Regarding claims 7, 36, Abeta discloses a transmission rate of said data channel differs from the transmission rate of said control channel (col. 1, lines 55-61).

Regarding claim 53, Abeta discloses a demodulating device comprising: channel estimating means for deriving N (N is natural number greater than or equal to two) in number of channel estimation values by weighted averaging of pilot signals in time using N in number of weighted sequences (col. 14, lines 10-18);

compensating means for compensating data sequences using said respective channel estimation values (col. 2, lines 3-8, 39-48);

RAKE combining means for RAKE combining respective of said N data sequences after compensation (col. 8, lines 29-43); and

reliability judgment (highly accurate, accuracy) means for selecting one data sequence having highest reliability from said N data sequences after RAKE combination (col. 9, lines 5-13).

Regarding claims 62, 63, Abeta discloses a demodulating device comprising: channel estimating means for weighted averaging of reception pilot signals using a plurality of weighting sequences and deriving a plurality of channel estimation values (col. 14, lines 10-18); demodulating means for inputting data sequences and outputting a plurality of demodulated data sequences using said plurality of channel estimation values (col. 2, lines 59-67; col. 3; lines 1-15; and

Art Unit: 2637

reliability judging means (highly accurate, accuracy) for selecting one demodulated data by making judgment of reliability (accuracy) of said plurality of demodulated data sequences (col. 9, lines 5-13).

Regarding claims 69, the steps claimed as method is nothing more than restating the function of the specific components of the apparatus as claimed above and therefore, it would have been obvious, considering the aforementioned rejection for the apparatus claim 53.

Regarding claims 78, the steps claimed as method is nothing more than restating the function of the specific components of the apparatus as claimed above and therefore, it would have been obvious, considering the aforementioned rejection for the apparatus claim 62.

Regarding claims 79, Abeta discloses based on the judgment (determination) said plurality of demodulated data sequences, a predetermined number of weight sequences are selected from among said plurality of weight sequences, and after the selection, demodulation through the use of only the selected weight sequences is performed (col. 2, lines 28-33, lines 39-48, lines 50-65).

Regarding claim 80, Abeta discloses said pilot signals are time multiplexed into a control channel that is parallel multiplexed together with the data channel in which said data sequence is contained (abstract; col. 2, lines 54-65; col. 8, lines 24-28; col. 9, lines 19-24).

Regarding claim 81, Abeta discloses all of the claim limitation above except said pilot signals are time multiplexed into one channel together with the data sequence. Huang in a similar field of endeavor discloses pilot signals are time multiplexed into one channel together with the data sequence (abstract; col. 9, lines 59-63; col. 10, lines 4-24). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a time

Art Unit: 2637

multiplexing scheme as taught by Huang in the channel estimation apparatus of Abeta because it

can track and acquire timing information for channel subcode and further to control the reception

of and dispreading of all the remaining subcodes.

Regarding claims 82 and 84, Abeta discloses demodulation method wherein estimating a

Page 7

channel divides the data symbols in the slots of said data channel into a plurality of data symbol

sections, selects the pilot symbols appropriate for calculating the channel estimation value of the

data of each of the data sequence sections and calculates a channel estimation value of the data

of each data sequence sections by weighting and averaging the selected pilot signals (col. 2, lines

53-65; col. 7, lines 20-67).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 54, 70-77 allowed.

6. Claims 5, 19, 55-61, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

US Patents:

Art Unit: 2637

Ramesh (USP 6,519,300) discloses a system and method for automatic frequency correction in a pilot symbol assisted demodulator.

Narvinger et al (USP 6,381,229) shows a random access in a mobile telecommunications system structure with separate preamble and data portions.

Publications:

Hidehiro Andoh, et a1, "Channel Estimation Filter Using Time-Multiplexed Pilot Channel For Coherent RAKE Combining in DS-CDMA Mobile Radio',' IEICE Trans. Communication, Vol. E81-B, No. 7, pp. 1517-1526, July 1998.

Sadayuki Abeta, et al, Performance Comparison between Time-Multiplexed Pilot Channel and Parallel Pilot Channel for Coherent Rake Combining in DS-CDMA Mobile Radio", IEICE Trasaction Communication, Vol. E81-B, No. 7, pp. 1417-1425, July 1998.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Qutub Ghulamali whose telephone number is (571) 272-3014. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 2637

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

QG.

August 22, 2005.

JAY K. PATEL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER